First Orbit Determination with Numerical Methods based on Short Arcs Acquired in Space Debris Surveys

> <u>Tim Flohrer</u><sup>1</sup>, Gerhard Beutler<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Schildknecht<sup>2</sup>, Reto Musci<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aboa Space Research Oy (ASRO) at ESA/ESOC Space Debris Office, ESOC, Darmstadt, Germany, tim.flohrer@esa.int <sup>2</sup>Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

PEDAS1-0007-08

Wednesday, 16 July 2008, 1740-1800 37<sup>th</sup> COSPAR Scientific Assembly, 13 – 20 July 2008, Montreal, Canada





- Introduction
- Method
- Application
- Outlook
- Summary



## Outline

- Introduction
  - Short arcs from optical surveys (angular measurements)
  - Space-based observations
  - Correlation in survey-only strategies



# Motivation

- Surveys for space debris
  - Improvement and validation of space debris environment models
  - Build-up and maintenance of catalogue of orbital elements
- Initial orbit determination (IOD) is a crucial step :
  - Size estimation from apparent magnitude and orbit (if statistically sampling)
  - IOD limits
    - Performance of catalogue correlation
    - Re-acquisition scenario
    - Object identification
  - Combining heterogeneous tracks ('arclets') from multiple sites
  - Measurement accuracy (pixel scale, SNR limits)
- Typical surveys provide very short arclets
  - Limits of the current sensor technology : FoV diameter vs. aperture
  - Survey patterns aiming to cover larger areas





- Introduction
- Method



- Implementation into the CelMech program system (Beutler, 2005)
  - ORBDET module : circular OD, "boundary value", improvement step
  - Consideration of angular measurements (optical observation systems)
- New : BNBN2D = derivation of the "boundary value" method
  - Two-dimensional search : systematically varying topocentric ranges at boundary epochs of the observed arc
  - Approximation of observed arc through truncated Taylor series
  - Identification of local minima of the "observed-computed" residuals (over all available observations within arc)
  - Consider the particular solution of the equation of motion



t

e

(t

R

A

**r** ( *t* - 🖍 )

S

B

- Observer **R**(t), observations **e**(t)
  - → moving observer
- Object **S**(t)
- Topocentric ranges ρ(t)
- e(t) in equatorial
   coordinate α and δ
- Arclet :
  - Boundaries A and B
  - *n* observations in (A,B)
  - Approximations for A and B from observations



Taylor-series approximation

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (t_A - t)^j b_j$$
$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} j(j-1)(t_B - t)^{j-2} b_j$$



Taylor-series approximation

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (t_A - t)^j b_j$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_A - t & (t_A - t)^2 & (t_A - t)^3 \\ 1 & t_B - t & (t_B - t)^2 & (t_B - t)^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6(t_A - t) \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6(t_B - t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)^T$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} r_A, r_B, -GM \frac{r_A}{r_A^3}, -GM \frac{r_B}{r_B^3} \end{pmatrix}^T$$



Taylor-series approximation

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (t_A - t)^j b_j$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_A - t & (t_A - t)^2 & (t_A - t)^3 \\ 1 & t_B - t & (t_B - t)^2 & (t_B - t)^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6(t_A - t) \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6(t_B - t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)^T$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)^T$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (r_A, r_B, -GM\frac{r_A}{r_A^3}, -GM\frac{r_B}{r_B^3})^T$$

solve for **b** : **A** is static (and for n = 3 **A**<sup>-1</sup> is easy), modify only **I** for various combinations of  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$ 

- Search for optimal fit to observation arc
- Criterion : RMS of "observed-computed" of  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$

$$B\left(\varrho_A, \varrho_B\right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{obs}} \cos^2(\delta_i^O) \left(\alpha_i^O - \alpha_i^C\right)^2 + \left(\delta_i^O - \delta_i^C\right)^2}{2 n_{obs}}}$$

- Previous implementation : 1D-search  $\rho_{B}(\rho_{A}) \rightarrow 2D$ -search
- Problem : find minima (maybe sharp)
  - Adaptive fine-search
  - Repeat entire estimation with t' replacing t (if enough observations are available)
- Example : space-based observation from GEO sensor (t = 280s)



#### Minima search $\sigma = 0.0$ "



#### Minima search $\sigma = 1.0^{"}$



## Minima search $\sigma=2.5$ "



#### Minima search $\sigma$ =5.0"





- Introduction
- Method
- Application
  - Simulated space-based observations
  - Application to ESA surveys



# Simulated space-based obs.

- ESA study "Space-Based Optical Observation of Space Debris" : <u>ASRO</u>, NLR, AIUB (goal : characterisation small-sized environment)
  - LEO (SSO) observing LEO
  - subGEO observing GEO
  - GEO (piggy-back, North-pointing)
- Simulation method
  - ESA's PROOF : topocentric ranges and relative velocity
  - ORBDET : simulate observations (with astrometric noise : Noise levels (single obs) : 0", 0.5", 1", 2.5", 5")
- Test : Variation of "middle" epoch *t*
- Evaluation of determined orbital elements vs. middle epoch
  - → analysis of repeated solutions may partly compensate noise!



LOS

# Simulated space-based obs.

Object	a [km]	e [-]	i [deg]	Ω [deg]	arc [s]
GEO-1	28568	0.48	13.50	96.29	282
GEO-2	26723	0.65	66.94	-101.83	120
GEO-3	40950	0.03	11.14	42.34	212
GEO-4	24681	0.72	6.83	105.47	210
GEO-5	34060	0.22	3.93	-23.71	776
LEO-1	7923	0.00	102.38	177.92	24
LEO-2	8093	0.02	74.07	146.40	11
LEO-3	10070	0.32	57.04	-134.30	26
LEO-4	7771	0.01	101.73	150.63	48
LEO-5	25085	0.72	4.24	-81.80	9



# Simulated space-based obs. GEO-1





# Simulated space-based obs. GEO-3





# Simulated space-based obs. GEO-5





# Application to ESA surveys





# Application to ESA surveys

Object	a [km]	e [-]	i [deg]	Ω [deg]	Class
E07311A	41334	0	9.52	-32.45	GEO
E07343D	42026	0.49	11.23	3.55	AMR GEO
E08035A	41206	0.05	12.95	-0.14	GEO
E08061B	23162	0.71	7.3	23.23	GTO
E08125C	42302	0.21	6.65	-41.03	AMR GEO

• Results in *i* -  $\Omega$  and in *e* - *a* space (with errorbars)

- Faint dot : from single arclet
- Prominent dot : from two consecutive arclets
- Indicator "truth" : data from long arc fit (months) (circle refers to middle epoch of all observations)



# Application to ESA surveys E07311A





# Application to ESA surveys E07343D





# Application to ESA surveys E08035A





# Application to ESA surveys E08061B





# Application to ESA surveys E08125C





# Application to ESA surveys All test objects





# Application to ESA surveys E07311A



# Application to ESA surveys E07343D



# Application to ESA surveys E08035A



# Application to ESA surveys E08061B



# Application to ESA surveys E08125C



# Application to ESA surveys All test objects





### Outline

- Introduction
- Method
- Application
- Outlook



# Outlook

- Inclusion of range observations :
  - Possible, but each range observation adds one line to A
  - Optimal (maybe) : use ranges to limit search range in  $\rho_{_{\!\!A}}$  and  $\rho_{_{\!\!B}}$
  - How much is the allowed time difference between radar and optical observations?
- Application to real "survey-only" tests
  - Does BNBN2D help in correlation process?
  - Trade-offs with survey-only strategy (pattern) of wide-field sensors



## Outline

- Introduction
- Method
- Application
- Outlook
- Summary



# Summary and Outlook

#### Implementation

- 2D search variant (BNBN2D) of boundary value FOD into CelMech
- Direct interface to ESA's PROOF-tool via plugin-option
- BNBN2D :
  - Extremely flexible tool for various work(s)
  - Easy implementation (on-board?)
  - If  $\rho_{_{A}} \approx \rho_{_{B}}$  : alternative to already employed ground-based FOD
  - Better for eccentric orbits, suitable for space-based observations
  - Typical limits of FOD apply
    - Length of the arclet vs. measurement accuracy :
      - (LEO space-based scenario, small FoV survey telescopes)
    - Projection effects" :
      - Pointing in-flight direction
      - Orthogonal to debris orbital plane, ...

